

# On the Deformation of $\Lambda$ -Symmetry in B-field Background

M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari \*

*The Abdus Salam International Center for Theoretical Physics  
Strada Costiera 11, Trieste, Italy*

## Abstract

In this note we will show that the  $\Lambda$  symmetry, namely the  $U(1)$  symmetry of the open string sigma model which relates the B-field and the  $U(1)$  gauge field of a brane to each other, is deformed to a noncommutative version in a constant B-field background.

---

\* E-mail:jabbari@ictp.trieste.it

## Introduction

Recently open strings in a constant B-field background have been studied extensively [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The main result obtained in these papers is that the world volume of the branes in a B-field background is a noncommutative space in terms of Connes noncommutative geometry.

The classical  $\sigma$ -model action in the B-field background,

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma [g_{ij} \partial_a X^i \partial^a X^j + \epsilon^{ab} B_{ij} \partial_a X^i \partial_b X^j + \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} d\tau A_i \partial_{\tau} X^i], \quad (1)$$

where  $A_{\mu}$ , is the  $U(1)$  gauge field living on the D-brane, enjoys two  $U(1)$  symmetries,

$\lambda$ -symmetry:

$$A \rightarrow A + d\lambda, \quad (2)$$

and  $\Lambda$ -symmetry:

$$\begin{cases} B \rightarrow B + d\Lambda \\ A \rightarrow A + \Lambda \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

It has been argued that  $\lambda$ -symmetry in the case of  $N$  coincident D-branes is enhanced to the  $U(N)$  symmetry, while the  $\Lambda$ -symmetry is believed to remain a  $U(1)$  which acts on the  $U(1)$  part of the  $U(N)$  symmetry mentioned earlier [12]. In the case of the non-zero B-field background it has been argued that at the *quantum level* the  $\lambda$ -symmetry will be deformed to a Moyal gauge symmetry [1, 4, 9], however the  $\Lambda$ -symmetry, has not been well studied in these backgrounds. In all of the works mentioned above the noncommutativity was obtained under the assumption of vanishing field strength for the  $A$  field at infinity. However, in [13], where a non-zero constant field strength for the  $A$  field was considered, it was shown that the deformation parameter,  $\theta$ , is not invariant under this classical  $\Lambda$ -symmetry, in other words, one should revise this symmetry at quantum level.

In this note, we would like to elaborate more on this symmetry. We show that this symmetry just like the  $\lambda$ -symmetry, will be deformed to a Moyal symmetry at quantum level. It is worth noting that similar to the arguments of [9], this is the result of the point splitting regularization we use.

## Calculations

To study the  $\Lambda$ -symmetry at quantum level we use the Path integral formulation and first we build the necessary tools for this calculation.

It was shown in [14] that the two point function of open strings,  $X^i(z)$   $z$  showing a point in the upper half plane, constrained to the mixed boundary conditions,

$$g_{ij}(\partial - \bar{\partial})X^j + B_{ij}(\partial + \bar{\partial})X^j|_{z=\bar{z}} = 0, \quad (4)$$

in the decoupling limit, i.e.  $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$  and other parameter properly scaled [9], is <sup>†</sup>

$$\langle X^i(z)X^j(z') \rangle = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\theta^{ij}\log\frac{z-\bar{z}'}{\bar{z}-z'} + D^{ij}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\theta^{ij} = \left(\frac{1}{B}\right)^{ij}, \quad (6)$$

and  $D^{ij}$  is a constant which does not depend on  $z, z'$  and plays no essential role so we will set it to zero. For the cases in which one of the operators is on the boundary, i.e.  $z' = \bar{z}' = \tau'$ , and the other at  $z = \tau + i\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \geq 0$ , the above propagator reads as:

$$\langle X^i(z)X^j(z') \rangle = -\frac{i}{\pi}\theta^{ij}\tan^{-1}\frac{\sigma}{\tau-\tau'} \equiv -\frac{i}{\pi}\theta^{ij}K(\sigma, \tau; \tau'). \quad (7)$$

The equation (7) for the values of  $|\tau - \tau'| < \delta$  in the  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  limit, does not dependent on  $\sigma$  and

$$\langle X^i(z)X^j(z') \rangle = -i\theta^{ij}\epsilon(\tau - \tau'), \quad (8)$$

where  $\epsilon(x)$  is 1 or -1 for positive or negative  $x$ , respectively.

Let us consider the action (1),

$$S(B, A) = S_0 + \int_{\partial\Sigma} d\tau A_i \partial_\tau X^i,$$

where  $S_0$  is the part of the action containing the string kinetic energy and the B-field. The propagator (7) has been calculated for this part. Under the  $\Lambda$ -symmetry,  $S(B, A)$  is transformed as

$$\delta S = S(B + d\Lambda, A + \Lambda) - S(B, A) = \int_{\Sigma} d\tau d\sigma \partial_\tau (\Lambda_i \partial_\sigma X^i). \quad (9)$$

As we expected it is a total time derivative, this is a classical symmetry.

In order to explore the  $\Lambda$ -symmetry at quantum level, we study the partition function of the theory under the  $\Lambda$ -transformations:

$$Z(B, A) = \int DX e^{S_0 + \int_{\partial\Sigma} d\tau A_i \partial_\tau X^i}, \quad (10)$$

---

<sup>†</sup>Going to the decoupling limit makes the calculations and the results more clean and clear.

and hence

$$Z + \delta Z = \int DX e^{S_0 + \int_{\partial\Sigma} d\tau A_i \partial_\tau X^i + \delta S}, \quad (11)$$

Expanding (11) up to the first order in  $A$  and  $\Lambda$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Z &= \int DX e^{S_0} \left( \int d\tau A_i \partial_\tau X^i \cdot \int d\tau' d\sigma \partial_{\tau'} (\Lambda_i \partial_\sigma X^i) \right) \\ &=: \int d\tau A_i \partial_\tau X^i : : \int d\tau' d\sigma \partial_{\tau'} (\Lambda_i \partial_\sigma X^i) : \\ &= \int d\tau d\sigma d\tau' \partial_{\tau'} \left( : A_i(x(\tau)) \Lambda_j(x(\sigma, \tau')) :: \partial_\tau X^i(\tau) \partial_\sigma X^j(\sigma, \tau') : + \right. \\ &\quad \left. : A_i(x(\tau)) \partial_\sigma X^j(\sigma, \tau') :: \partial_\tau X^i(\tau) \Lambda_j(\sigma, \tau') : \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The above OPE's can be evaluated by means of (7), however performing the integration in  $\tau'$ , because of (8), one should note the time ordering of the operators. In the field theory language this corresponds to regularizing the field products by the point splitting method [9]. Since we are only interested in the constant  $B$  and  $dA$  backgrounds, for simplicity we consider the  $\Lambda$  transformations which are linear in  $X^i$ ,

$$\Lambda_i = \frac{1}{2} f_{ij} X_j, \quad (13)$$

where  $f$  is an arbitrary constant anti-symmetric two form. Inserting (13) into (12) we find

$$\delta Z = \frac{1}{2} \theta^{il} \theta^{kj} f_{jl} \int d\tau d\sigma d\tau' \partial_{\tau'} (\partial_i A_k K \partial_\sigma \partial_\tau K + \partial_k A_i \partial_\sigma K \partial_\tau K). \quad (14)$$

Integrating over sigma by parts, we obtain

$$\delta Z = \frac{1}{2} \theta^{il} \theta^{kj} f_{jl} \int d\tau d\sigma d\tau' \partial_{\tau'} (F_{ik} K \partial_\sigma \partial_\tau K), \quad (15)$$

with

$$F_{ik} = \partial_k A_i - \partial_i A_k.$$

The equation (15) can be regularized by the point splitting and then we can perform the integration in  $\tau'$ . The answer expressed in terms of the Moyal bracket is

$$\delta Z = i : \int d\tau d\sigma \{A_i, \Lambda_j\}_{M.B.} \partial_\tau X^i(\tau) \partial_\sigma X^j(\sigma, \tau) : , \quad (16)$$

where  $A$  is boundary valued.

To cancel this extra term we should deform the  $\Lambda$ -symmetry as the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} B \rightarrow B + d\Lambda + i\{\Lambda_i, A_j\}_{M.B.} \\ A \rightarrow A + \Lambda \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

Although we have presented the calculations only up to the first order in the  $A$  field, along the lines of [9] it can be checked that the variations in any arbitrary power of  $A$  will cancel out in lights of (17).

### *Discussion*

Here we have shown that the  $\Lambda$ -symmetry should be modified in the presence of the  $B$ -field, similarly to the  $\lambda$ -symmetry. The interesting point is that the  $\theta$  parameter is a function of the background  $B$ -field, and therefore is changed under a  $\Lambda$ -transformation. Hence the parameter  $\theta$  is not invariant under this  $\Lambda$ -symmetry.

Another point we should note is that in order to use the noncommutative description the background  $A$  field should be set to zero at infinity, by a proper  $\Lambda$ -transformation, i.e.  $\Lambda = -A$ . Under this  $\Lambda$ -transformation the  $B$ -field will transform as:

$$B \rightarrow \hat{B} = B - dA - i\{A, A\}, \quad (18)$$

recalling that  $dA = F$ , we find

$$\hat{B} = B - (F - \frac{1}{4}F\theta F). \quad (19)$$

In the above relation the space-time indices have been summed over like matrix products. The (19) is the same as the Seiberg-Witten map which relates the commutative and non-commutative descriptions. In other words the results of different regularization methods are related by this modified  $\Lambda$ -symmetry. So, there is some hope that the deformed  $\Lambda$ -symmetry sheds light on the Seiberg-Witten map. Studying this point and other physical consequences of this symmetry is postponed to future works [15].

### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank K. Narain for helpful discussions and D. Polyakov for reading the manuscript.

## **References**

- [1] M. R. Douglas, C. Hull, "D-branes and Noncommutative Torus", *JHEP* **9802** (1998) 008, hep-th/9711165.
- [2] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "More on Mixed Boundary Conditions and D-brane Bound States", *Phys. Lett.* **B425** (1998) 48.

- F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Mixed Branes and M(atrix) Theory on Noncommutative Torus", hep-th/9803067.
- F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Noncommutative Geometry form Strings and Branes", *JHEP* **02** (1999) 016, hep-th/9810072.
- [3] C. Hofman and E. Verlinde, "U-duality of Born-Infeld on the Noncommutative Two Torus", *JHEP* **9812** (1998) 010, hep-th/9810116.
- [4] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Super Yang-Mills Theories on Noncommutative Torus from Open Strings Interactions", *Phys. Lett.* **B450** (1999) 119, hep-th/9810179.
- [5] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Open Strings in a B-field Background as Electric Dipoles", *Phys. Lett.* **B455** (1999) 129, hep-th/9901080.
- [6] C-S. Chu and P-M. Ho, "Noncommutative Open Strings and D-branes", *Nucl. Phys.* **B550** (1999) 151, hep-th/9812219.
- [7] C-S. Chu, P.M. Ho, "Constrained Quantization of Open Strings in Background B-Field and Noncommutative D-Branes", hep-th/9906192.
- [8] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari and A. Shirzad, "Boundary Conditions as Dirac Constraints ", hep-th/9907055.
- [9] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, "String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry", *JHEP* **09** (1999) 032, hep-th/9908142.
- [10] B. Pioline, A. Schwarz, " Morita Equivalence and T-duality", *JHEP* **9908** (1999) 021, hep-th/9908019.
- [11] D. Bigatti, L. Susskind, " Magnetic Field, Branes and Noncommutative Geometry", hep-th/9908056.
- [12] E. Witten, "Bound States of Strings And  $p$ -Branes", *Nucl. Phys.* **B460** (1996) 335, hep-th/9510135.
- [13] F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Dirac Quantization of Open Strings and and Noncommutativity in Branes", hep-th/9906161.
- [14] C.G. Callan, C. Lovelace, C. R. Nappi, S.A. Yost, "String Loop Corrections to Beta Functions", *Nucl. Phys.* **B288** (1987) 525.

A. Abouelsaood, C.G. Callan, C.R. Nappi, S.A. Yost, "Open Strings In Background Gauge Fields ", *Nucl. Phys.* **B280** (1987) 599.

[15] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, *work in progress*.